

HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION

SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman Ruth Lucius. Commission members present were Dennis Barrett, Rick Butera, Alex Heard, Ruth Lucius and Robert Seyfang (5). Ted Rogers was absent (1). Also present was Brody Walters, Planning and Zoning Administrator.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Barrett moved to approve the minutes of the August 8, 2016 meeting as written. Mr. Barrett seconded and they were unanimously approved.

APPLICATION, 16-16 - Ryan and Suzanne Baker are requesting a certificate of appropriateness for the construction of a second story addition to the home. The property is located at 521 E. Second Street and is zoned as "R-3" (Single Family Residential).

Mr. Walters reviewed Application 16-16. Ms. Baker was present and said that the builder was present if the Commission members had any questions. Mr. Seyfang thanked her for submitting the elevations. He said that they indicate that the existing roofline stays.

Mr. Seyfang moved to approve Application 16-16. It was seconded by Mr. Heard and unanimously approved.

APPLICATION, 20-16 - Nicholas and Jennifer Hall are requesting a certificate of appropriateness to keep the existing aluminum panel address sign on the south side of the residence and the existing screen walls on the north side of the residence. The property is located at 501 E. Second Street and is zoned as "R-3" (Single Family Residential).

Mr. Walters reviewed Application 20-16. Ms. Hall was present and said that they had the numbers constructed in the same material as the house. The house has 4x8 aluminum panels and the sign is on a 2x8 aluminum panel. She said that at some time in the future they might want to add some back lighting. Mr. Walters said that normally the Commission does not review address signs, but due to the distinct nature and size of this sign, he felt it was appropriate for the Commission to review it. Mr. Seyfang and Mr. Heard said that they feel it fits the house. Mr. Barrett disagreed stating that the sign reminds him of something in Times Square. Ms. Lucius said that it looks commercial and light behind it would be even worse. Mr. Butera said that the design goes well with the home and is appropriate for the context in which it sits. He added that any other comments would be water under the bridge. He added that he is not sure about the back lighting.

Mr. Heard moved to approve the sign portion of Application 20-16 without the back lighting. Mr. Seyfang seconded. Ayes: Butera, Heard, Seyfang (3). Nays: Barrett and Lucius (2).

Ms. Hall explained that their mailbox is one of the screen walls and the other screen wall is to hide the toters.

Mr. Barrett moved to approve the screen walls included in Application 20-16. Mr. Seyfang seconded and it was unanimously approved.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:13 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Jo Sutton